

Message

---

**From:** Bussard, David [Bussard.David@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 2/26/2016 1:11:08 PM  
**To:** Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?  
**Attachments:** Male Repro Conclusion Table.xlsx

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Take a look at attached mock-up.

David

---

**From:** Kraft, Andrew  
**Sent:** Friday, February 26, 2016 7:50 AM  
**To:** Bussard, David <Bussard.David@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Andrew

---

**From:** Bussard, David  
**Sent:** Friday, February 26, 2016 7:14 AM  
**To:** Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?

Thinking a bit more about Andrew's point.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Not sure were to go with this.

David

---

**From:** Kraft, Andrew

**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 4:41 PM

**To:** Bussard, David <[Bussard.David@epa.gov](mailto:Bussard.David@epa.gov)>; Glenn, Barbara <[Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov](mailto:Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Re: Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?

David,

A summary of the studies that really contribute to the within stream hazard call for male reproductive toxicity in animals is summarized in Figure 3. Note that both Ozen studies and the sarsilmaz study are from the same research group. I'm not sure what Vosoughi, 2012 is, but I think it is a paper presenting much of the same data from the same cohort in 2013.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

It is a difficult section, though. I'm honestly about spent on it.

-Andrew

---

**From:** Bussard, David

**Sent:** Thursday, February 25, 2016 3:51 PM

**To:** Kraft, Andrew; Glenn, Barbara

**Subject:** Repro conclusions table - would the mock up of revised end table be clearer?

I took a shot and how we could make the table on conclusions in repro/dev easier to read. **LOOK ONLY AT the section on male repro at the end** (that is the only one I change, as a sort of trial balloon) – about page 42 or so.

## Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

So, I took a shot for this one of creating subheaders in effect, underlined effect types, and then bullets of studies. I think it makes it much easier to see for each type of endpoint if there is consistent evidence for that endpoint or not.

## **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

What do you think?

David